

## INTRODUCTION

For the purpose of my proof I use the consequence of the theory of the Continued Fractions:

For the equation  $ax + by = c$ ,  $(a, b) = 0$

$$x = cq_{n-1} - tb \quad (3)$$

$$y = cp_{n-1} - ta \quad (4)$$

where  $p_{n-1}$  is numerator and  $q_{n-1}$  is denominator of  $n-1$  convergent of  $a/b$  expansion as simple continued fraction, with an even number  $n$  of partial quotients; and  $t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$

Here are some citations from the Olds' book "Continued Fractions" about continued fractions and their use for solution of equations:

Any rational number we may write in the following form:

$$\frac{9}{7} = 1 + \frac{2}{7} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{7}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1}}}$$

An expression of the form

$$(1.5) \quad a_1 + \frac{b_1}{a_2 + \frac{b_2}{a_3 + \frac{b_3}{a_4 + \ddots}}}$$

Is called continued fraction

Continued fractions of the following form:

$$a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{a_4 + \ddots}}}$$

Where the first term  $a_1$  is usually a positive or negative integer (but could be zero), and where the terms  $a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots$  are positive integers, are called simple continued fractions.

Continued fractions of the form:

$$a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{a_4 + \ddots + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}},$$

With only a finite number of terms

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n.$$

is called finite (or terminating) simple continued fraction.

A rational number is a fraction of the form  $p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are integers with  $q \neq 0$ . Every rational fraction, or rational number, can be expressed as a finite simple continued fraction (is proved in the book)

[the book gives examples how continued fraction expansions can be calculated for different rational fractions]

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Any finite simple continued fraction represents a rational number. Conversely, any rational number  $p/q$  can be represented as a finite simple continued fraction; with the exceptions to be noted below, the representation, or expansion, is unique.*

[is proofed in the book]

$$(1.19) \quad \frac{p}{q} = a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}} = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n].$$

[this is much more convenient way to writing continued fractions, used in the book.]

The *uniqueness* of the expansion (1.19) follows from the manner in which the  $a_i$ 's are calculated. This statement must be accompanied, however, by the remark that once the expansion has been obtained we can always modify the *last* term  $a_n$  so that the number of terms in the expansion is either *even* or *odd*, as we choose. To see this, notice that if  $a_n$  is greater than 1 we can write

$$\frac{1}{a_n} = \frac{1}{(a_n - 1) + \frac{1}{1}},$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Any rational number  $p/q$  can be expressed as a finite simple continued fraction in which the last term can be modified so as to make the number of terms in the expansion either even or odd.*

From the properties of convergents, described in the book follows some results for Diophantine Equations described in this book and used for solution of some linear indeterminate equations with two unknown integers. Namely:

**THEOREM 1.3.** *The numerators  $p_i$  and the denominators  $q_i$  of the  $i$ th convergent  $c_i$  of the continued fraction  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  satisfy the equations*

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} p_i &= a_i p_{i-1} + p_{i-2}, & (i = 3, 4, 5, \dots, n) \\ q_i &= a_i q_{i-1} + q_{i-2}, \end{aligned}$$

with the initial values

$$(1.29) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 &= a_1, & p_2 &= a_2 a_1 + 1, \\ q_1 &= 1, & q_2 &= a_2. \end{aligned}$$

And:

**THEOREM 1.4.** *If  $p_i = a_i p_{i-1} + p_{i-2}$  and  $q_i = a_i q_{i-1} + q_{i-2}$  are defined as in Theorem 1.3, then*

$$p_i q_{i-1} - p_{i-1} q_i = (-1)^i, \quad \text{where } i \geq 0.$$

And here is the citation from the book about equation  $ax + by = c$ :

[on the next page]

## 2.5 The General Solution of $ax + by = c$ , $(a, b) = 1$

The discussion of this equation is similar, except for some minor changes, to that of the equation  $ax - by = c$ . Still assuming that  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers, we first find a particular solution of the equation

$$ax + by = 1, \quad (a, b) = 1$$

To do this, expand  $a/b$  as a simple continued fraction with an even number of partial quotients. From the table of convergents read off  $p_{n-1}$  and  $q_{n-1}$ . Then

$$aq_{n-1} - bp_{n-1} = 1,$$

as before. The trick now is to write the given equation  $ax + by = c$  in the form

$$ax + by = c \cdot 1 = c(aq_{n-1} - bp_{n-1}).$$

Rearrange terms to obtain

$$(2.24) \quad a(cq_{n-1} - x) = b(y + cp_{n-1}).$$

This shows that  $b$  divides the left side of the equation; but  $(a, b) = 1$  so  $b$  cannot divide  $a$ . Therefore  $b$  divides  $cq_{n-1} - x$ , so there is an integer  $t$  such that

$$(2.25) \quad cq_{n-1} - x = tb,$$

or

$$(2.26) \quad x = cq_{n-1} - tb.$$

Substitute (2.25) into (2.24) to get

$$a(tb) = b(y + cp_{n-1}),$$

and solve for  $y$  to obtain

$$(2.27) \quad y = at - cp_{n-1}.$$

Conversely, for any integer  $t$ , a direct substitution of (2.26) and (2.27) into  $ax + by$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} ax + by &= a(cq_{n-1} - tb) + b(at - cp_{n-1}) \\ &= acq_{n-1} - tab + tab - bcp_{n-1} \\ &= c(aq_{n-1} - bp_{n-1}) = c \cdot 1 = c, \end{aligned}$$

so the equation  $ax + by = c$  is satisfied. Thus the general solution of the equation  $ax + by = c$  is

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= cq_{n-1} - tb \\ y &= at - cp_{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$$

These are the equations I use for my proof.

And here is the link to the book: Olds C.D. Continued Fractions  
 (<http://www.ms.uky.edu/~sohum/ma330/files/Continued%20Fractions.pdf>)

PROOF

Beal's Conjecture: If  $A^x + B^y = C^z$ , (1) where  $A, B, C, x, y,$  and  $z$  are positive integers and  $x, y$  and  $z$  are greater than 2, then  $A, B$  and  $C$  must have a common prime factor.

Let's suppose such case, for  $A^x + B^y = C^z$  is possible, when  $A, B$  and  $C$  have not common factors. For the purpose of proof we use the consequence of the theory of the Continued fractions:

For the equation  $ax+by=c$ ,

Where  $a, b$  and  $c$  have not common factors,

$$x=cq_{n-1}-tb$$

$$y=ta - cp_{n-1}$$

where  $p_{n-1}$  is numerator and  $q_{n-1}$  is denominator of  $n-1$  convergent of  $a/b$  expansion as simple continued fraction, with an even number  $n$  of partial quotients; and  $t=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$

We may consider equation  $Ax+By=Cz$  as  $Ax-1+ BBy-1 = Cz$  , where  $A=x, Ax-1 = a, B = y, By-1 = b$  and  $Cz = c$ ; so we have:

$$A = C^z q_{n-1} - tB^{y-2} \quad (1)$$

And

$$B = tA - C^z p_{n-1} \quad (2)$$

And also considering  $Ax+By=Cz$  as  $A^2 A^{x-2} + B^2 B^{y-2} = C^z$  , where  $A^2 = x, A^{x-2} = a, B^2 = y, B^{y-2} = b$  and  $Cz = c$

We have

$$A^2 = C^z q_{n-1}^2 - t^2 B^{y-2} \quad (3)$$

$$B^2 = C^z p_{n-1}^2 - t^2 A^{x-2} \quad (4)$$

So, from (1) and (3), we have  $A = C^z q_{n-1} - tB^{y-2}$  and  $A^2 = C^z q_{n-1}^2 - t^2 B^{y-2}$

We can write:

$$(C^z q_{n-1} - tB^{y-2})^2 = C^z q_{n-1}^2 - t^2 B^{y-2}$$

Or

$$C^{2z}q_{n-1}^2 - 2C^zq_{n-1}tB^{y-1} + t^2B^{(y-1)2} = C^zq_{n-1}^l - t^lB^{y-2}$$

$$C^{2z}q_{n-1}^2 - 2C^zq_{n-1}tB^{y-1} - C^zq_{n-1}^l = -t^2B^{(y-1)2} - t^lB^{y-2}$$

$$2C^zq_{n-1}tB^{y-1} + C^zq_{n-1}^l - C^{2z}q_{n-1}^2 = t^2B^{(y-1)2} + t^lB^{y-2}$$

$$C^z(2q_{n-1}tB^{y-1} + q_{n-1}^l - C^zq_{n-1}^2) = B^{y-2}(t^2B^2 + t^l)$$

C and B must not have any common prime factor, and so:

$$C^zr = t^2B^2 + t^l ;$$

$$\text{or } t^l = C^zr - t^2B^2 \quad (5)$$

Where r may be any rational number (not definitely positive),

Now, from (2) and (4) we have:

$$B = A^{x-1}t - C^zp_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B^2 = A^{x-2}t^l - C^zp_{n-1}^l$$

So,

$$(A^{x-1}t - C^zp_{n-1})^2 = A^{x-2}t^l - C^zp_{n-1}^l$$

$$A^{(x-1)2}t^2 - 2A^{x-1}tC^zp_{n-1} + C^{2z}p_{n-1}^2 = A^{x-2}t^l - C^zp_{n-1}^l$$

$$C^zp_{n-1}^l - 2A^{x-1}tC^zp_{n-1} + C^{2z}p_{n-1}^2 = A^{x-2}t^l - A^{(x-1)2}t^2$$

$$C^z(p_{n-1}^l - 2A^{x-1}tp_{n-1} + p_{n-1}^2) = A^{x-2}(t^l - t^2 A^x)$$

C and A must not have any common prime factor, and so:

$$C^zs = t^l - t^2A^2;$$

$$\text{Or } t^l = t^2 A^2 + C^zs \quad (6)$$

Where s may be any rational number (not definitely positive)

So, from (5) and (6) we have:  $C^zr - t^2B^2 = t^2 A^2 + C^zs$

$$C^zr - C^zs = t^2B^2 + t^2 A^2$$

$$C^z(r - s) = t^2 (A^2 + B^2)$$

$A^2 + B^2$  could not be divided with  $C^z$  when  $z > 2$  and also C and t must not have common divisors, and so, our supposition is not true, such case is impossible and Beal's conjecture may be considered as proven.