A Concise Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem¹

ABSTRACT. This paper offers a concise proof of Fermat's Last Theorem using the Euclidean algorithm. 1 Introduction

Fermat's Last Theorem states that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for any integer n > 2.(cf. [1]) This paper offers a concise proof of this theorem using the Euclidean algorithm.

Proof 2

 $x^p + y^p = z^p$; p: odd prime; x, y, z: pairwise coprime; x, y, z $\in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (positive integer) (1)From (1) it follows that

 $x^{p} + y^{p} = (x + y)f(x, y) = z^{p}; f(x, y) = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2}(-y) + \dots + (-y)^{p-1}.$ (2)Then, polynomial division of f(x, y) by x + y gives a remainder $r = f(x, -x) = px^{p-1}$. Let $f(x, y) = px^{p-1}$. $q(x,y)(x+y) + px^{p-1}$ and (x+y, f(x,y)) = g, then $px^{p-1} \mid g$. Hence, according to the Euclidean algorithm $(x + y, f(x, y)) = (x + y, px^{p-1}) = g = p$ or 1 because $x + y \nmid x^{p-1}$. Similarly, (f(z, -x), z - x), (f(z, -y), z - y) = p or 1, if we let $z^p - x^p = (z - x)f(z, -x) = y^p, z^p - y^p = (z - y)f(z, -y) = x^p$. **2.1** In the case (x + y, f(x, y)) = p

(x+y, f(x,y)) = p means $p \mid z$, because $(x+y)f(x,y) = z^p$. Similarly, (z-x, f(z, -x)) = p means $p \mid y$. $p \mid z$ and $p \mid y$ cannot be satisfied at once, because (z, y) = 1. Hence, when (x + y, f(x, y)) = p, at least it is required that $(z - x, f(z, -x)) \neq p$ (i.e. (z - x, f(z, -x)) = 1).² For the same reason, when (x+y, f(x,y)) = p, at least it is required that $(z-y, f(z, -y)) \neq p$ (i.e. (z-y, f(z, -y)) = 1). Now, let $x = x_a x_b$, $y = y_a y_b$ (where $x_a, x_b, y_a, y_b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(x_a, x_b) = 1$, $(y_a, y_b) = 1$, $f(z, -x) = y_b^p$, $f(z, -y) = x_b^p$, then z - x, z - y can be written as following (3),(4).

$$x - x = y_a^p \tag{3}$$

$$z - y = x_a^{\ p} \tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4) it follows that

$$x - y = x_a^p - y_a^p,\tag{5}$$

where $x - y = x_a x_b - y_a y_b$. $x_a^p - y_a^p | x_a - y_a$. Hence, $x_a x_b - y_a y_b | x_a - y_a$. Moreover, $(x_a, y_a) = 1$. It yields $x_b = y_b = 1$ and p = 1. This means that p cannot exist.

2.2 In the case
$$(x + y, f(x, y)) = 1$$

Let
$$z = z_a z_b$$
 (where $z_a, z_b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(z_a, z_b) = 1$), then when $(x + y, f(x, y)) = 1, x + y$ can be written as
 $x + y = z_a^p$. (6)

When (x+y, f(x,y)) = 1, at least it is required that both $(z-x, f(z, -x)) \neq p$ and $(z-y, f(z, -y)) \neq p$ at once. Hence, either (6) and (3), or (6) and (4) must be satisfied at once. Thus, similar to the case 2.1 above, p = 1. This means that p cannot exist.

3 Conclusion

Consequently, no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^{lp} + y^{lp} = z^{lp}$ (where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). Besides, that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ was proven by Fermat.([2]) This means according to the laws of exponents that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^{2^m} + y^{2^m} = z^{2^m}$ (where $2 \le m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). In conclusion, no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for any integer n > 2. QED.

References

[1] Wiles, A., Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem, Ann. Math. 142(1995), 443–551. [2] Freeman, L., Fermat's One Proof, http://fermatslasttheorem.blogspot.kr/, Retrieved 2015-04-18.

¹Yun, J., Daegu Univ., 712-714, South Korea; jmyun@daegu.ac.kr

²For reference, even if e.g. (z - x, f(z, -x)) = 1, there still exists the possibility of $p \mid y$, but y, z must not have the common prime factor *p* like any other positive integers.